

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO		
10/785,089	02/25/2004	Laurent Huet	713-1044	8536		
22429 7.	590 05/04/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER		
LOWE HAUPTMAN GILMAN AND BERNER, LLP			BOSWELL, CH	BOSWELL, CHRISTOPHER J		
1700 DIAGON SUITE 300 /31			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			3676			

DATE MAILED: 05/04/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/785,089	HUET ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Christopher Boswell	3676	

	Christopher Boswell	3676	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 19 April 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	•	in the Control of the control	: !abaaa!a lataa   Ia
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or The MONTHS OF THE FINAL PERIOD CONTROL OF THE FINAL PERIOD CON	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejecti	on.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 76 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1		
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	shortened statutory period for reply original three months after the mailing da	inally set in the final Offi	ce action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,  (a) They raise new issues that would require further co  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);	•
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or			the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	:
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	04 0#		(DTOL 004)
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.		mpliant Amendment (	(P10L-324).
<ul> <li>5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> <li>6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ul>		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	will not be entered, or b)      will not be entered, or b)      will will not be entered.      will not be entered, or b)      will not be entered.      will not be entered, or b)      will not be entered.      will not be ente	l be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-20.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but	t before or on the date of filing a No	otice of Appeal will no	t be entered
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affiday	it or other evidence is	necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ls to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ied.
11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered bu  See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	(o(s)	
13.  Other:	(_	aniel P	Stodola
		DANIEL P. STO	DOLA .

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600





Continuation of 11. Does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Regarding the argument that Kurosaki does disclose the case having a work face provided with an elastic leg moveable in the plane of the face, as well as a case open at one end and having an elastic leg moveable in a work face of the case, the examiner respectfully disagrees. The current application recites the elastic leg being moveable within the workface of the case, where Kurosaki discloses the elastic leg (10) being moveable in and out of the workface. The alleged movement being only in a horizontal direction is not claimed, and the recited language doesn't necessarily draw the movement as being in any single direction.